arXiv:1401.3811v1 [math.GT] 16 Jan 2014

The reductivity of spherical curves

Ayaka Shimizu *

January 17, 2014

Abstract

We show that we can obtain a reducible spherical curve from any non-trivial spherical curve by four or less inverse-half-twisted splices, i.e., the reductivity, which represents how reduced a spherical curve is, is four or less. We also discuss unavoidable sets of tangles for spherical curves.

1 Introduction

A *spherical curve* is a smooth immersion of the circle into the sphere where the self-intersections are transverse and double points (we call the double point *crossing*). In this paper we assume every spherical curve is oriented, and has at least one crossing. We represent, if necessary, the orientation of a spherical curve by an arrow as depicted in the left-hand side of Fig. 1. A spherical curve P is *reducible* and has a *reducible crossing* p if P has a crossing p as shown in Fig. 2, where T and T' imply parts of the spherical curve. P is *reduced* if P is not reducible such as the spherical curves in Fig. 1. Note that around a reducible (resp. non-reducible) crossing, there are exactly three (resp. four) disjoint regions, where a *region* of a spherical curve is a part of the sphere divided by the spherical curve.

A *half-twisted splice* is the local transformation on spherical curves as depicted in Fig. 3 ([2, 4]). Then the inverse is the transformation depicted

*Department of Mathematics, Gunma National College of Technology, 580 Toriba-cho, Maebashi-shi, Gunma, 371-8530, Japan. shimizu1984@gmail.com




Figure 1: Spherical curves.




Figure 2: Reducible spherical curve.

in Fig. 4. In this paper we call the inverse of the half-twisted splice *inverse-half-twisted splice*, and denote by I. We remark that the half-twisted splice and the inverse-half-twisted splice do not preserve the orientation of spherical curves. Then we give an orientation again to the spherical curve we obtain. We also remark that the half-twisted splice and the inverse-half-twisted splice do not depend on the orientations of spherical curves, but depend only on the relative orientations. Now we define the reductivity. The *reductivity* $r(P)$ of a spherical curve P is the minimal number of I which are needed to obtain a reducible spherical curve from P. For example, a reducible spherical curve has the reductivity 0, and the spherical curves P, Q and R in Fig. 1 have the reductivity 1, 2 and 3, respectively (see Fig. 5 for Q. Note that we can not obtain a reducible curve by any single I from Q.) In this paper, we show the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Every spherical curve has the reductivity four or less.*

This implies that we can obtain a reducible spherical curve from any spherical curve by four or less I. We have the following question.



Figure 3: Half-twisted splice.




Figure 4: Inverse-half-twisted splice.

Question 1.2. *Is it true that every spherical curve has the reductivity three or less?*

In other words, is it true that there are no spherical curve with reductivity four? The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we discuss the properties of reductivity by considering chord diagrams, and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we study the unavoidable sets of tangles for spherical curves as an approach to Question 1.2.

2 Proof of Theorem1.1

In this section we show Theorem 1.1 by using chord diagrams. We consider a spherical curve P as an immersion $P : S^1 \to S^2$ of the circle into the sphere with some double points (crossings). A *chord diagram* for a spherical curve is an oriented circle considered as the preimage of the immersed circle with chords connecting the preimages of each crossing ([3]).

A chord diagram is for a reducible spherical curve if and only if the chord diagram could have a chord without crossings. For example, the chord diagram in Fig. 6 has the chord labeled 4 without crossings. The move I at a crossing p on a spherical curve corresponds to the move shown in Fig. 7 on the chord diagram; cut the chord diagram along the chord labeled p (then p vanishes), turn over the semicircle, and join the two semicircles again. For example, I at the crossing labeled 2 on the spherical curve in Fig.




Figure 5: The reductivity of Q is 2.




Figure 6: Chord diagram.

6 is represented on the chord diagram as shown in Fig. 8.

A region of a spherical curve is *coherent* (resp. *incoherent*) if the boundary of the region is coherent (resp. incoherent) (see, for example, Fig. 9). A coherent bigon and an incoherent bigon are represented by chord diagrams as shown in Fig. 10. For coherent and incoherent bigons, we have the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *If a spherical curve P has an incoherent bigon, then $r(P) \leq 1$.*

Proof. By applying I at one of the crossing on an incoherent bigon, we obtain a reducible spherical curve as shown in Fig. 11. □

Lemma 2.2. *If a spherical curve P has a coherent bigon, then $r(P) \leq 2$.*

Proof. If P is reducible, the reductivity is zero. If P is reduced, there is a crossing p as shown in the chord diagram in Fig. 12. By applying I at p, we obtain a spherical curve which has an incoherent bigon. Hence P has the reductivity two or less. □




Figure 7: I on a chord diagram.




Figure 8: I at 2 on a chord diagram.

A trigon of a spherical curve is one of the types A, B, C and D in Fig. 13 with respect to the outer connections. These trigons are represented in chord diagrams as shown in Fig. 14. We have the following lemmas for trigons of type A and B.

Lemma 2.3. *If a spherical curve P has a trigon of type A, then $r(P) \leq 2$.*

Proof. By applying I at p in Fig. 15, we have a spherical curve which has an incoherent bigon. □

Lemma 2.4. *If a spherical curve P has a trigon of type B, then $r(P) \leq 3$.*

Proof. By applying I at p in Fig. 16, we have a spherical curve which has a coherent bigon. □




Figure 9: Coherent and incoherent bigons.




Figure 10: Coherent and incoherent bigons in chord diagrams.

A *connected sum* $P \sharp Q$ of two spherical curves P and Q is a spherical curve as depicted in Fig. 17. For trigons of type C, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5. *If a spherical curve P has a trigon of type C, then $r(P) \leq 3$.*

Proof. If the arcs l, m, and n in Fig. 18 around a trigon of type C have no mutual crossings, then P is a connected sum of a trefoil (*trefoil* is the spherical curve depicted in the left-hand side of Fig. 1) and some (trivial or non-trivial) spherical curves as depicted in Fig. 19, whose reductivity is one or zero. If P has a mutual crossing p of l, m and n, we obtain a spherical curve which has a trigon of type A by applying I at p (see Fig. 20). □

For type D, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.6. *If a spherical curve P has a trigon of type D, then $r(P) \leq 4$.*




Figure 11: Incoherent bigon.




Figure 12: Coherent bigon.

Proof. If P is reducible, the reductivity is zero. If P is reduced, P has a crossing p as shown in the chord diagram in Fig. 21. Apply I at p, and we obtain a trigon of type B. Hence the reductivity of P is four or less. □

Adams, Shinjo and Tanaka showed the following lemma [1]:

Lemma 2.7 (Adams-Shinjo-Tanaka)**.** *Every reduced spherical curve has a bigon or trigon.*

They proved this lemma by considering the equality $2C_2 + C_3 = 8 + C_5 + 2C_6 + 3C_7 + 4C_8 + \dots$ which is obtained by the Euler characteristic of S^2, where C_n is the number of n-gons. We prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If P is reducible, then $r(P) = 0$. If P is reduced, then $r(P) \leq 4$ because P has a bigon or trigon. □

3 Unavoidable sets for spherical curves

In this section, we discuss the unavoidable sets of tangles for reduced spherical curves. A *tangle* of a spherical curve is a part of the spherical curve. In this




Figure 13: The four types of trigons.




Figure 14: The four types of trigons in chord diagrams.

section we do not distinguish the mirror image of tangles. A set S of tangles is an *unavoidable set for spherical curves* if any spherical curve has at least one tangle in S. For example, the set S_0 in Fig. 22 is an unavoidable set for *reduced* spherical curves because of Lemma 2.7. We have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *The sets S_1, S_2 and S_3 in Fig. 23 are unavoidable sets for reduced spherical curves.*

Proof. We use the discharging method which is used in graph coloring theory (see, for example, [5]). We assume there exists a reduced spherical curve P which has none of S_1. Then P has trigons by Lemma 2.7, and all the regions around each trigon are 5-gons or more. We assign a charge of $4-k$ to each k-gon. Then each trigon receives 1, 4-gon receives 0, 5-gon receives -1, ... The total charge Ch on P is

$$Ch = C_3 - C_5 - 2C_6 - 3C_7 - 4C_8 - \dots$$

where C_n is the number of n-gons. By the equality

$$2C_2 + C_3 = 8 + C_5 + 2C_6 + \dots$$




Figure 15: Trigon of type A.




Figure 16: Trigon of type B.

for Lemma 2.7 and that $C_2 = 0$, we have $Ch = 8$. Now we do discharging; At each trigon, we take off the charge 1, and distribute it to the 6 regions around the trigon by 1/6 (see Fig. 24). After the discharging, each trigon has the charge 0 because it has been taken the initial charge, and receive no charge from other trigons because they are not adjacent. Each 4-gon has also 0 because the initial charge was 0 and receive no charge from trigons. Next we consider 5-gons. If a 5-gon R_5 has m trigons around it, then R_5 has the charge $-1 + m/6$ after the discharging. Remark $m \leq 5$ because if $m \geq 6$, some of the trigons around R_5 are adjacent. Hence each 5-gon has a negative charge after the discharging. For n-gons ($n = 6, 7, 8, \dots$), they have $(4 - n) + m/6$ after the discharging, where m is the number of trigons around them. We have $(4-n)+m/6 < 0$ because if $(4-n)+m/6 \geq 0$, then $m \geq 6n - 24 \geq 2n$ for $n \geq 6$, and this means all the $2n$ regions around the n-gon are trigons, and they are adjacent. Therefore, after discharging, the total charge turns a negative number despite that the discharging preserves the total charge 8. Hence S_1 is an unavoidable set. It is similarly shown for S_2 and S_3 by considering the discharging D_2 and D_3 in Fig. 25, respectively. □

We have the following question:

Question 3.2. *Is the set S_4 in Fig. 26 an unavoidable set for reduced spher-*




Figure 17: Connected sum.




Figure 18: Arcs of a trigon of type C.

ical curves?

If the answer to this question is Yes, then the answer to Question 1.2 is also Yes. A set T of tangles is an *avoidable set* for spherical curves if T is not an unavoidable set, i.e., there is a spherical curve which has no tangles in T. Since we have the spherical curve in Fig. 28, the sets T_1 and T_2 in Fig. 27 are avoidable sets for reduced spherical curves. Remark that T_2 is the set consisting of the tangles of the bigon and the *incoherent* trigons.

Acknowledgment

The author is deeply grateful to Professors Tetsuya Abe, Reiko Shinjo and Kokoro Tanaka for valuable discussions and suggestions. She is also grateful to the members of the Friday Seminar on Knot Theory at Osaka City University and Kobe Topology Seminar for helpful advice and encouragements. She is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up (24840030).

References

[1] C. C. Adams, R. Shinjo and K. Tanaka, Complementary regions of knot and link diagrams, *Ann. Comb.* **15** (2011), 549–563.




Figure 19: Connected sums to a trefoil.




Figure 20: Trigon of type C.

[2] J. A. Calvo, Knot enumeration through flypes and twisted splices, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **6** (1997), 785–798.

[3] M. Goussarov, M. Polyak and O. Viro, Finite type invariants of classical and virtual knots, *Topology* **39** (2000), 1045–1068.

[4] N. Ito and A. Shimizu, The half-twisted splice operation on reduced knot projections, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **21**, 1250112 (2012) [10 pages].

[5] R. Wilson, *Four Colors Suffice*, Princeton Science Library (2002).




Figure 21: Trigon of type D.



Figure 22: S_0 is an unavoidable set.




Figure 23: S_1, S_2 and S_3 are unavoidable sets.




Figure 24: Discharging.




Figure 25: Discharging D_2 and D_3.




Figure 26: Unavoidable set?




Figure 27: Avoidable sets.




Figure 28: Spherical curve without bigons or trigons of type A or B.